

## 1 Homotopy

**Definition (Chain complex).** A chain complex is a sequence of abelian groups and homomorphisms

$$\cdots \longrightarrow C_3 \xrightarrow{d_3} C_2 \xrightarrow{d_2} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} 0$$

**Definition (Cochain complex).** A cochain complex is a sequence of abelian groups and homomorphisms

$$0 \longrightarrow C^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} C^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} C^2 \xrightarrow{d^2} C^3 \longrightarrow \cdots$$

$$H_n(C_*) = \frac{\ker(d_n : C_n \rightarrow C_{n-1})}{\operatorname{im}(d_{n+1} : C_{n+1} \rightarrow C_n)}, \quad H^i(C^*) = \frac{\ker(d^i : C^i \rightarrow C^{i+1})}{\operatorname{im}(d^{i-1} : C^{i-1} \rightarrow C^i)}.$$

## 2 Singular (co)homology

**Definition (Singular chain complex).** We let  $C_n(X)$  be the free abelian group on the set of singular  $n$ -simplices in  $X$ . More explicitly, we have

$$C_n(X) = \left\{ \sum n_\sigma \sigma : \sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X, n_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ only finitely many } n_\sigma \text{ non-zero} \right\}.$$

We define  $d_n : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X)$  by

$$\sigma \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \sigma \circ \delta_i,$$

## 3 Four major tools of (co)homology

**Theorem (Homotopy invariance theorem).** Let  $f \simeq g : X \rightarrow Y$  be homotopic maps. Then they induce the same maps on (co)homology, i.e.

**Corollary.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a homotopy equivalence, then  $f_* : H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(Y)$  and  $f^* : H^*(Y) \rightarrow H^*(X)$  are isomorphisms. ( $f_*$  and  $f^*$  are the inverses).

**Theorem (Mayer-Vietoris theorem).** Let  $X = A \cup B$  be the union of two open subsets. We have inclusions

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \cap B & \xrightarrow{i_A} & A \\ \downarrow i_B & & \downarrow j_A \\ B & \xrightarrow{j_B} & X \end{array}$$

Then there are homomorphisms  $\partial_{MV} : H_n(X) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A \cap B)$  such that the following sequence is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \partial_{MV} : H_n(A \cap B) & \xrightarrow{i_A \circ \partial_{MV}} & H_n(A) \oplus H_n(B) & \xrightarrow{j_A \circ j_B} & H_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{MV}} & H_{n-1}(A \cap B) \\ & & \partial_{MV} & & & & \\ \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}(A \cap B) & \xrightarrow{i_A \circ \partial_{MV}} & H_{n-1}(A) \oplus H_{n-1}(B) & \xrightarrow{j_A \circ j_B} & H_{n-1}(X) & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Furthermore, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence is natural, i.e. if  $f : X = A \cup B \rightarrow Y = U \cup V$  satisfies  $f(A) \subseteq U$  and  $f(B) \subseteq V$ , then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{MV}} & H_n(A \cap B) & \xrightarrow{i_A \circ \partial_{MV}} & H_n(A) \oplus H_n(B) & \xrightarrow{j_A \circ j_B} & H_n(X) \\ \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow f_{A \cap B} & & \downarrow f_A \oplus f_B & & \downarrow f_* \\ H_{n+1}(Y) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{MV}} & H_n(U \cap V) & \xrightarrow{i_U \circ \partial_{MV}} & H_n(U) \oplus H_n(V) & \xrightarrow{j_U \circ j_V} & H_n(Y) \end{array}$$

commutes.

For certain elements of  $H_n(X)$ , we can easily specify what  $\partial_{MV}$  sends to it. The meat of the proof is to show that every element of  $H_n(X)$  can be made to look like that. If  $[a + b] \in H_n(X)$  is such that  $a \in C_n(A)$  and  $b \in C_n(B)$ , then the map  $\partial_{MV}$  is specified by

$$\partial_{MV}([a + b]) = [d_n(a)] + [-d_n(b)] \in H_{n-1}(A \cap B).$$

**Definition (Relative homology).** Let  $A \subseteq X$ . We write  $i : A \rightarrow X$  for the inclusion map. Then the map  $i_n : C_n(A) \rightarrow C_n(X)$  is injective as well, and we write

$$C_n(X, A) = \frac{C_n(X)}{C_n(A)}$$

The differential  $d_n : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X)$  restricts to a map  $C_n(A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(A)$ , and thus gives a well-defined differential  $d_n : C_n(X, A) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X, A)$ , sending  $[c] \mapsto [d_n(c)]$ . The relative homology is given by

$$H_n(X, A) = H_n(C_*(X, A)).$$

We think of this as chains in  $X$  where we ignore everything that happens in  $A$ .

**Theorem (Exact sequence for relative homology).** There are homomorphisms  $\partial : H_n(X, A) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A)$  given by mapping

$$[[c]] \mapsto [d_n c].$$

This makes sense because if  $c \in C_n(X)$ , then  $[c] \in C_n(X)/C_n(A)$ . We know  $[d_n c] = 0 \in C_{n-1}(X)/C_{n-1}(A)$ . So  $d_n c \in C_{n-1}(A)$ . So this notation makes sense.

Moreover, there is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{\partial} & H_n(A) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_n(A) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & H_n(X, A) \\ & & \partial & & & & \\ \longrightarrow & H_{n-1}(A) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{n-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & H_{n-1}(X, A) & \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

where  $i_*$  is induced by  $i : C(A) \rightarrow C(X)$  and  $q_*$  is induced by the quotient  $q : C(X) \rightarrow C(X, A)$ .

**Definition (Map of pairs).** Let  $(X, A)$  and  $(Y, B)$  be topological spaces with  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq Y$ . A map of pairs is a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(A) \subseteq B$ .

Such a map induces a map  $f_* : H_n(X, A) \rightarrow H_n(Y, B)$ , and the exact sequence for relative homology is natural for such maps.

**Theorem (Excision theorem).** Let  $(X, A)$  be a pair of spaces, and  $Z \subseteq A$  be such that  $Z \subseteq A$  (the closure is taken in  $X$ ). Then the map

$$H_n(X \setminus Z, A \setminus Z) \rightarrow H_n(X, A)$$

is an isomorphism.



While we've only been talking about homology, everything so far holds analogously for cohomology too. It is again homotopy invariant, and there is a Mayer-Vietoris sequence (with maps  $\partial_{MV} : H^n(A \cap B) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X)$ ). The relative cohomology is defined by  $C^*(X, A) = \operatorname{Hom}(C_*(X, A), \mathbb{Z})$  and so  $H^*(X, A)$  is the cohomology of that. Similarly, excision holds.

**Definition (Degree of a map).** Let  $f : S^n \rightarrow S^n$  be a map. The degree  $\deg(f)$  is the unique integer such that under the identification  $H_n(S^n) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , the map  $f_*$  is given by multiplication by  $\deg(f)$ .

**Proposition.**

- (i)  $\deg(\operatorname{id}_{S^n}) = 1$ .
- (ii) If  $f$  is not surjective, then  $\deg(f) = 0$ .
- (iii) We have  $\deg(f \circ g) = (\deg f)(\deg g)$ .
- (iv) Homotopic maps have equal degrees.

**Lemma.** Let  $M$  be a  $d$ -dimensional manifold (i.e. a Hausdorff, second-countable space locally homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ). Then

$$H_n(M, M \setminus \{x\}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & n = d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

This is known as the local homology.

**Theorem (Snake lemma).** Suppose we have a short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{i_*} B \xrightarrow{q_*} C \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then there are maps

$$\partial : H_n(C) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A)$$

such that there is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & H_n(A) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_n(B) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & H_n(C) \\ & & & & \partial_* & & \\ & \longleftarrow & H_{n-1}(A) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & H_{n-1}(B) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & H_{n-1}(C) \longrightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

**Lemma (Five lemma).** Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & \xrightarrow{g} & C & \xrightarrow{h} & D \xrightarrow{j} E \\ \downarrow \ell & & \downarrow m & & \downarrow n & & \downarrow p \\ A' & \xrightarrow{f'} & B' & \xrightarrow{g'} & C' & \xrightarrow{h'} & D' \xrightarrow{j'} E' \end{array}$$

If the two rows are exact,  $m$  and  $p$  are isomorphisms,  $q$  is injective and  $\ell$  is surjective, then  $n$  is also an isomorphism.

**Corollary.** Let  $f : (X, A) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  be a map of pairs, and that any two of  $f_*$  :  $H_*(X, A) \rightarrow H_*(Y, B)$ ,  $H_*(X) \rightarrow H_*(Y)$  and  $H_*(A) \rightarrow H_*(B)$  are isomorphisms. Then the third is also an isomorphism.

**Definition (Chain homotopy).** A chain homotopy between chain maps  $f, g : C \rightarrow D$ , is a collection of homomorphisms  $F_n : C_n \rightarrow D_{n+1}$  such that

$$g_n - f_n = d_{n+1}^D \circ F_n + F_{n-1} \circ d_n^C : C_n \rightarrow D_n$$

for all  $n$ .

**Lemma.** If  $f$  and  $g$  are chain homotopic, then  $f_* = g_* : H_*(C) \rightarrow H_*(D)$ .

## 4 Reduced homology

**Definition (Reduced homology).** Let  $X$  be a space, and  $x_0 \in X$  a basepoint. We define the reduced homology to be  $\tilde{H}_*(X) = H_*(X, \{x_0\})$ .

Let  $f$  of a pair  $\tilde{H}_*(X) \cong \tilde{H}_*(X) \forall n \geq 1$

**Definition (Good pair).** We say a pair  $(X, A)$  is good if there is an open set  $U$  containing  $A$  such that the inclusion  $A \hookrightarrow U$  is a deformation retract, i.e. there exists a homotopy  $H : [0, 1] \times U \rightarrow U$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} H(0, x) &= x \\ H(1, x) &\in A \\ H(t, a) &= a \text{ for all } a \in A, t \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$



**Theorem.** If  $(X, A)$  is good, then the natural map

$$\tilde{H}_*(X, A) \longrightarrow H_*(X/A, A/A) = \tilde{H}_*(X/A)$$

is an isomorphism.

## 5 Cell complexes

**Lemma.** If  $A \subseteq X$  is a subcomplex, then the pair  $(X, A)$  is good.

**Lemma.** Let  $X$  be a cell complex. Then

- (i)  $H_i(X^n, X^{n-1}) = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq n \\ \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I_n} \mathbb{Z} & i = n \end{cases}$
- (ii)  $H_i(X^n) = 0$  for all  $i > n$ .
- (iii)  $H_i(X^n) \rightarrow H_i(X)$  is an isomorphism for  $i < n$ .

For a cell complex  $X$ , let

$$C_n^{\text{cell}}(X) = H_n(X^n, X^{n-1}) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I_n} \mathbb{Z}.$$

We define  $d_n^{\text{cell}} : C_n^{\text{cell}}(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}^{\text{cell}}(X)$  by the composition

$$H_n(X^n, X^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial} H_{n-1}(X^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{q} H_{n-1}(X^{n-1}, X^{n-2}).$$

**Theorem.**  $H_n^{\text{cell}}(X) \cong H_n(X)$ .

**Corollary.** If  $X$  is a finite cell complex, then  $H_n(X)$  is a finitely-generated abelian group for all  $n$ , generated by at most  $|I_n|$  elements. In particular, if there are no  $n$ -cells, then  $H_n(X)$  vanishes.

**Definition (Cellular cohomology).** We define cellular cohomology by

$$C_n^{\text{cell}}(X) = H^n(X^n, X^{n-1})$$

and let  $d_{\text{cell}}^n$  be the composition

$$H^n(X^n, X^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{q^*} H^n(X^n) \xrightarrow{\partial} H^{n+1}(X^{n+1}, X^n).$$

$$C_{\text{cell}}^*(X) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(C_*^{\text{cell}}(X), \mathbb{Z}).$$

## 6 (Co)homology with coefficients

**Definition ((Co)homology with coefficients).** Let  $A$  be an abelian group, and  $X$  be a topological space. We let

$$C_*(X; A) = C_*(X) \otimes A$$

with differentials  $d \otimes \operatorname{id}_A$ . In other words  $C_*(X; A)$  is the abelian group obtained by taking the direct sum of many copies of  $A$ , one for each singular simplex.

$$C^*(X; A) = \operatorname{Hom}(C_*(X), A).$$

We call  $A$  the “coefficients”, since a general member of  $C_*(X; A)$  looks like

$$\sum n_\sigma \sigma, \quad \text{where } n_\sigma \in A, \quad \sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X.$$

## 7 Euler characteristic

**Definition (Euler characteristic).** Let  $X$  be a cell complex. We let

$$\chi(X) = \sum_n (-1)^n \text{ number of } n\text{-cells of } X \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\chi_{\mathbb{Z}}(X) = \sum_n (-1)^n \operatorname{rank} H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}).$$

$$\chi_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = \sum_n (-1)^n \dim_{\mathbb{R}} H_n(X; \mathbb{R}).$$

If  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$  is exact, then

$$\operatorname{rank}(B) = \operatorname{rank}(A) + \operatorname{rank}(C) \quad \text{by } 1^{\text{st}} \text{ iso thm.}$$

## 8 Cup product

**Definition (Cup product).** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and  $\phi \in C^k(X; R)$ ,  $\psi \in C^\ell(X; R)$ . Then  $\phi \smile \psi \in C^{k+\ell}(X; R)$  is given by

$$(\phi \smile \psi)(\sigma : \Delta^{k+\ell} \rightarrow X) = \phi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_k]}) \cdot \psi(\sigma|_{[v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{k+\ell}]}) \in R.$$

$$H^*(X; R) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^n(X; R).$$

**Lemma.** If  $\phi \in C^k(X; R)$  and  $\psi \in C^\ell(X; R)$ , then

$$d(\phi \smile \psi) = (d\phi) \smile \psi + (-1)^k \phi \smile (d\psi).$$

**Corollary.** The cup product induces a well-defined map

$$\begin{aligned} \smile : H^k(X; R) \times H^\ell(X; R) &\longrightarrow H^{k+\ell}(X; R) \\ ([\phi], [\psi]) &\longmapsto [\phi \smile \psi] \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition.**  $(H^*(X; R), \smile, [1])$  is a unital ring.

**Proposition.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. If  $\alpha \in H^k(X; R)$  and  $\beta \in H^\ell(X; R)$ , then we have

$$[\alpha] \smile [\beta] = (-1)^{kl} [\beta] \smile [\alpha]$$

**Proposition.** The cup product is natural, i.e. if  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map, and  $\alpha, \beta \in H^*(Y; R)$ , then

$$f^*(\alpha \smile \beta) = f^*(\alpha) \smile f^*(\beta).$$

**Definition (Cross product).** Let  $\pi_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ ,  $\pi_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$  be the projection maps. Then we have a cross product

$$\begin{aligned} \times : H^k(X; R) \otimes_R H^\ell(Y; R) &\longrightarrow H^{k+\ell}(X \times Y; R) \\ a \otimes b &\longmapsto (\pi_X^* a) \smile (\pi_Y^* b) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the diagonal map  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X \times X$  given by  $\Delta(x) = (x, x)$  satisfies

$$\Delta^*(a \times b) = a \times b$$

for all  $a, b \in H^*(X; R)$ . So these two products determine each other.

There is also a relative cup product

$$\smile : H^k(X, A; R) \otimes H^\ell(X; R) \rightarrow H^{k+\ell}(X, A; R)$$

## 9 Künneth theorem and universal coefficients theorem

**Theorem (Künneth's theorem).** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and suppose that  $H^n(Y; R)$  is a free  $R$ -module for each  $n$ . Then the cross product map

$$\bigoplus_{k+\ell=n} H^k(X; R) \otimes H^\ell(Y; R) \xrightarrow{\times} H^n(X \times Y; R)$$

is an isomorphism for every  $n$ , for every finite cell complex  $X$ .

$$H^*(X; R) \otimes_R H^*(Y; R) \xrightarrow{\times} H^*(X \times Y; R)$$

is an isomorphism of graded rings.

$$a \otimes b \longmapsto a \times b$$

where we define multiplication in the graded ring to be

$$(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{|b||c|} (a \otimes c) \otimes (b \otimes d)$$

**Theorem (Universal coefficients theorem for (co)homology).** Let  $R$  be a PID and  $M$  an  $R$ -module. Then there is a natural map

$$H_*(X; R) \otimes M \rightarrow H_*(X; M),$$

If  $H_*(X; R)$  is a free module for each  $n$ , then this is an isomorphism. Similarly, there is a natural map

$$H^*(X; M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(H_*(X; R), M),$$

which is an isomorphism again if  $H^*(X; R)$  is free.

Homology of  $X \times Y$ : Can define chain complexes  $C_*(X \times Y) = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} C_i(X) \otimes C_j(Y)$  and the differential is  $d((a \otimes b)) = da \otimes b + (-1)^{|a|} a \otimes db$ .

10 Vector bundles

10.1 Vector bundles

**Definition (Vector bundle).** Let  $X$  be a space. A (real) *vector bundle* of dimension  $d$  over  $X$  is a map  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ , with a (real) vector space structure on each fiber  $E_x = \pi^{-1}(\{x\})$ , subject to the **local triviality condition**: for each  $x \in X$ , there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x$  and a homeomorphism  $\varphi : E|_U = \pi^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{R}^d$  such that the following diagram commutes

Diagram showing the commutativity of the map from E|\_U to U x R^d via pi and phi\_x.

and for each  $y \in U$ , the restriction  $\varphi|_{E_y} : E_y \rightarrow \{y\} \times \mathbb{R}^d$  is a *linear isomorphism* for each  $y \in U$ . This maps is known as a *local trivialization*.

**Definition (Pullback of vector bundles).** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle, and  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  a map. We define the *pullback*

f^\*E = {(y, e) in Y x E : f(y) = pi(e)}.

This has a map  $f^*\pi : f^*E \rightarrow Y$  given by projecting to the first coordinate. The vector space structure on each fiber is given by the identification  $(f^*E)_y = E_{f(y)}$ .

**Example (Grassmannian manifold).** We let

X = Gr\_k(R^n) = {k-dimensional linear subgroups of R^n}.

$E = \{(V, v) \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n : v \in V\}$ . call this bundle  $\gamma_{k,n}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  
 $U = \{W \in \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) : W \cap V^\perp = \{0\}\}$ .

The *normal bundle* of  $M$  in  $N$  is

nu\_{M \subseteq N} = i^\*TN / TM.

**Theorem (Tubular neighbourhood theorem).** Let  $M \subseteq N$  be a smooth submanifold. Then there is an open neighbourhood  $U$  of  $M$  and a homeomorphism  $\nu_{M \subseteq N} \rightarrow U$ , and moreover, this homeomorphism is the identity on  $M$  (where we view  $M$  as a submanifold of  $\nu_{M \subseteq N}$  by the image of the zero section).

**Definition (Partition of unity).** Let  $X$  be a compact Hausdorff space, and  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  be an open cover. A *partition of unity subordinate to  $\{U_\alpha\}$*  is a collection of functions  $\lambda_\alpha : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

- (i)  $\text{supp}(\lambda_\alpha) = \{x \in X : \lambda_\alpha(x) > 0\} \subseteq U_\alpha$ .
- (ii) Each  $x \in X$  lies in finitely many of these  $\text{supp}(\lambda_\alpha)$ .
- (iii) For each  $x$ , we have  $\sum_{\alpha \in I} \lambda_\alpha(x) = 1$ .

**Lemma.** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle over a compact Hausdorff space. Then there is some  $N$  such that  $E$  is a vector subbundle of  $X \times \mathbb{R}^N$ .

**Theorem.** There is a correspondence

Diagram showing the correspondence between homotopy classes of maps f: X -> Gr\_d(R^infty) and d-dimensional vector bundles pi: E -> X.

10.2 Vector bundle orientations

E^# = E \setminus s\_0(X). H^i(E\_x, E\_x^#; R) isomorphic to H^i(R^d, R^d \setminus {0}; R) = {R if i=d, 0 otherwise}

**Definition (R-orientation).** A *local R-orientation* of  $E$  at  $x \in X$  is a choice of  $R$ -module generator  $\varepsilon_x \in H^d(E_x, E_x^#; R)$ .

An *R-orientation* is a choice of local *R-orientation*  $\{\varepsilon_x\}_{x \in X}$  which are compatible in the following way: if  $U \subseteq X$  is open on which  $E$  is trivial, and  $x, y \in U$ , then under the homeomorphisms (and in fact linear isomorphisms):

Diagram showing the relationship between E\_x, E|\_U, E\_y and U x R^d via maps h\_x, h\_y, phi\_U, and pi\_U.

the map  $h_y \circ (h_x^{-1})^* : H^d(E_x, E_x^#; R) \rightarrow H^d(E_y, E_y^#; R)$

sends  $\varepsilon_x$  to  $\varepsilon_y$ . Note that this definition does not depend on the choice of  $\varphi_U$ , because we used it twice, and they cancel out.

**Lemma.** If  $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$  is a family of covers such that for each  $\alpha, \beta \in I$ , the homeomorphism

(U\_alpha union U\_beta) x R^d is isomorphic to E|\_{(U\_alpha union U\_beta)} which is isomorphic to (U\_alpha union U\_beta) x R^d

gives an orientation preserving map from  $(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta) \times \mathbb{R}^d$  to itself, i.e. has a positive determinant on each fiber, then  $E$  is orientable for any  $R$ .

10.3 The Thom isomorphism theorem

**Theorem (Thom isomorphism theorem).** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  be a  $d$ -dimensional vector bundle, and  $\{\varepsilon_x\}_{x \in X}$  be an  $R$ -orientation of  $E$ . Then

- (i)  $H^i(E, E^#; R) = 0$  for  $i < d$ .
- (ii) There is a unique class  $u_E \in H^d(E, E^#; R)$  which restricts to  $\varepsilon_x$  on each fiber. This is known as the *Thom class*.
- (iii) The map  $\Phi$  given by the composition

H^i(X; R) -> H^i(E; R) -> H^{i+d}(E, E^#; R) is an isomorphism.

Note that (i) follows from (iii), since  $H^i(X; R) = 0$  for  $i < 0$ .

**Definition (Euler class).** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle. We define the *Euler class*  $e(E) \in H^d(X; R)$  by the image of  $u_E$  under the composition

u\_E in H^d(E, E^#; R) maps to H^d(E; R) via inclusion, then to H^d(X; R) via pushforward, resulting in e(E).

**Theorem.** We have

u\_E \smile u\_E = Phi(e(E)) = pi^\*(e(E)) \smile u\_E in H^\*(E, E^#; R).

**Lemma.** If  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  is a  $d$ -dimensional  $R$ -module vector bundle with  $d$  odd, then  $2e(E) = 0 \in H^d(X; R)$ .

**Theorem.** If there is a section  $s : X \rightarrow E$  which is nowhere zero, then  $e(E) = 0 \in H^d(X; R)$ .

10.4 Gysin sequence

**Definition (Sphere bundle).** Let  $\pi : E \rightarrow X$  be a vector bundle, and let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \otimes E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an inner product, and let

S(E) = {v in E : <v, v> = 1} subset E.

This is the *sphere bundle* associated to  $E$ .

Diagram of the Gysin sequence: H^{i+d}(E, E^#) -> H^{i+d}(E) -> H^{i+d}(E^#) -> H^{i+d+1}(E, E^#).

11 Manifolds and Poincaré duality

11.1 Compactly supported cohomology

**Definition (Compactly-supported cohomology).** The *compactly supported cohomology* of  $X$  is

H\_c^\*(X) = H^\*(C\_c^\*(X)).

Note that we can write

C\_c^\*(X) = union\_{K compact} C^\*(X, X \setminus K) subset C^\*(X).

H\_c^\*(X) is isomorphic to the direct limit of H^n(X, X \setminus K) as K increases.

**Extension by zero:** If  $K \subseteq U \subseteq X$ ,  $K$  compact,  $U$  open. Then excision gives us an isomorphism H^k(U \setminus K, U \setminus K; R) isomorphic to H^k(X \setminus K, X \setminus K; R) and we get a map taking the direct limit called *extension by zero*: i\_k : H\_c^k(U) -> H\_c^k(X).

We call this “extension by zero”. Indeed, this is how the cohomology class works — if you have a cohomology class  $\phi$  on  $U$  supported on  $K \subseteq U$ , then given any simplex in  $X$ , if it lies inside  $U$ , we know how to evaluate it. If it lies outside  $K$ , then we just send it to zero. Then by barycentric subdivision, we can assume every simplex is either inside  $U$  or outside  $K$ , so we are done.

**Lemma.** We have

H\_c^i(R^d; R) is R if i=d, 0 otherwise.

H^n(X | K; R) = H^n(X, X \setminus K; R).

**Proposition.** Let  $K, L \subseteq X$  be compact. Then there is a long exact sequence H^n(X | K union L; R) -> H^n(X | K; R) direct sum H^n(X | L; R) -> H^n(X | K union L; R).

**Corollary.** Let  $X$  be a manifold, and  $X = A \cup B$ , where  $A, B$  are open sets. Then there is a long exact sequence

Diagram showing the long exact sequence for cohomology with compact support on the union of two open sets A and B.

11.2 Orientation of manifolds

**Definition (Local R-orientation of manifold).** For a  $d$ -manifold  $M$ , a *local R-orientation* of  $M$  at  $x$  is an  $R$ -module generator  $\mu_x = H_d(M | x; R)$ .

**Definition (R-orientation).** An *R-orientation* of  $M$  is a collection  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in M}$  of local  $R$ -orientations such that if

varphi: R^d -> U subset M

is a chart of  $M$ , and  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then the composition of isomorphisms

Diagram showing the composition of isomorphisms between H\_d(M | varphi(p); R) and H\_d(M | varphi(q); R) via H\_d(R^d | p; R) and H\_d(R^d | q; R).

sends  $\mu_p$  to  $\mu_q$ , where the vertical isomorphism is induced by a translation of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Definition (Orientation-preserving homeomorphism).** For a homeomorphism  $f : U \rightarrow V$  with  $U, V \in \mathbb{R}^d$  open, we say  $f$  is *R-orientation-preserving* if for each  $x \in U$ , and  $y = f(x)$ , the composition

Diagram showing the composition of maps H\_d(R^d | 0; R) to H\_d(R^d | x; R) to H\_d(M | x; R) and similarly for y.

is the identity  $H_d(\mathbb{R}^d | 0; R) \rightarrow H_d(\mathbb{R}^d | 0; R)$ .

**Lemma.**

- (i) If  $R = \mathbb{F}_2$ , then every manifold is *R-orientable*.
- (ii) If  $\{\varphi_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow U_\alpha \subseteq M\}$  is an open cover of  $M$  by Euclidean space such that each homeomorphism

R^d supseteq varphi\_alpha^{-1}(U\_alpha union U\_beta) -> U\_alpha union U\_beta -> varphi\_beta^{-1}(U\_alpha union U\_beta) subseteq R^d

is orientation-preserving, then  $M$  is *R-orientable*.

**Theorem.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -oriented manifold and  $A \subseteq M$  be compact. Then

- (i) There is a unique class  $\mu_A \in H_d(M | A; R)$  which restricts to  $\mu_x \in H_d(M | x; R)$  for all  $x \in A$ .
- (ii)  $H_i(M | A; R) = 0$  for  $i > d$ .

**Definition (Fundamental class).** The *fundamental class* of an  $R$ -oriented manifold is the unique class  $[M]$  that restricts to  $\mu_x$  for each  $x \in M$ .

11.3 Poincaré duality

**Theorem (Poincaré duality).** Let  $M$  be a  $d$ -dimensional  $R$ -oriented manifold. Then there is a map

D\_M : H\_c^k(M; R) -> H\_{d-k}(M; R)

that is an isomorphism.

**Definition (Cap product).** The *cap product* is defined by

Diagram showing the definition of the cap product and its properties, including the identity phi cap sigma = sigma |\_{varphi^{-1}(varphi(x\_1), ..., varphi(x\_k))} cap (sigma |\_{varphi^{-1}(varphi(x\_{k+1}), ..., varphi(x\_d))}).

**Lemma.** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map, and  $x \in H_k(X; R)$  And  $y \in H^l(Y; R)$ , then we have

f\_\*(f^\*(phi) cap x) = phi cap f\_\*(x)

**Corollary.** For any compact  $d$ -dimensional  $R$ -oriented manifold  $M$ , the map

[M] cap : H^l(M; R) -> H\_{d-l}(M; R) is an isomorphism.

**Corollary.** Let  $M$  be an odd-dimensional compact manifold. Then the Euler characteristic  $\chi(M) = 0$ .

**Theorem.** Let  $M$  be a  $d$ -dimensional compact  $R$ -oriented manifold, and consider the following pairing:

<.,.> : H^k(M; R) tensor H^{d-k}(M; R) -> R [varphi] cap [psi] -> (varphi vsmash psi)[M]

If  $H_*(M; R)$  is free, then  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is non-singular

11.4 Applications

**Definition (Signature of manifold).** Let  $M$  be a  $4k$ -dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -oriented manifold. Then the *signature* is the number of positive eigenvalues of

<.,.> : H^{2k}(M; R) tensor H^{2k}(M; R) -> R

minus the number of negative eigenvalues. We write this as  $\text{sgn}(M)$ .

**Fact.** If  $M = \partial W$  for some compact  $4k + 1$ -dimensional manifold  $W$  with boundary, then  $\text{sgn}(M) = 0$ .

**Definition (Degree of map).** If  $M, N$  are  $d$ -dimensional compact connected  $\mathbb{Z}$ -oriented manifolds, and  $f : M \rightarrow N$ , then

f\_\*([M]) in H\_d(N, Z) is isomorphic to Z[N].

So  $f_*([M]) = k[N]$  for some  $k$ . This  $k$  is called the *degree* of  $f$ , written  $\deg(f)$ .

11.5 Intersection product

Write  $\nu_{N \subseteq M}$  for the normal bundle of  $N$  in  $M$ . Picking a metric on  $TM$ , we can decompose

i^\*TM is isomorphic to TN tensor nu\_{N subseteq M}.

we have an isomorphism

H^i(nu\_{N subseteq M}, nu\_{N subseteq M}^#; R) is isomorphic to H\_{d-i}(N; R).

**Theorem.** The Poincaré dual of a submanifold is (the extension by zero of) normal Thom class.

The P.D. of  $[N] \in H_n(M; R)$  is the extension by zero of the Thom class of  $\nu_N$ .

**Idea:** under the iso H^n(U, U^#; R) is isomorphic to H\_c^n(U; R) is isomorphic to H\_d(M; R), [N] corresponds to some zeta\_N. Looks like and smells like a Thom class, zeta\_N is a Thom class for normal bundle.

Both [N] and zeta\_N get mapped to zeta\_M^{-1}([N]) under the respective maps

Diagram showing the mapping of [N] and zeta\_N to zeta\_M^{-1}([N]) via the Thom isomorphism and its inverse.

Whenever the intersection is transverse, the intersection  $N \cap W$  will be a submanifold of  $M$ , and of  $N$  and  $W$  as well. Moreover,

(nu\_{N cap W subseteq M})\_x = (nu\_{N subseteq M})\_x tensor (nu\_{W subseteq M})\_x.

Now consider the inclusions

i\_N : N cap W -> N i\_W : N cap W -> W.

Then we have

nu\_{N cap W subseteq M} = i\_N^\*(nu\_{N subseteq M}) tensor i\_W^\*(nu\_{W subseteq M}).

So with some abuse of notation, we can write

i\_N^\* zeta\_N subseteq i\_W^\* zeta\_W subseteq H^\*(nu\_{N cap W subseteq M}, nu\_{N cap W subseteq M}^#; R),

and we can check this gives the Thom class. So we have

D\_M^{-1}([N]) vsmash D\_M^{-1}([W]) = D\_M^{-1}([N cap W]).

**Definition (Intersection product).** The *intersection product* on the homology of a compact manifold is given by

H\_{n-k}(M) tensor H\_{n-l}(M) -> H\_{n-k-l}(M)

(a, b) -> a . b = D\_M(D\_M^{-1}(a) vsmash D\_M^{-1}(b))